UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,483	10/07/2004	Hiroyuki Miyata	0283-0200PUS1	7464
2292 7590 05/13/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/510,483	MIYATA ET AL.				
interview dummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SUSAN HANLEY	1651				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>SUSAN HANLEY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Thomas Siepmann</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 April 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 4-10</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>none</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed claims suggested by Applicant's attorney appear to define over the prior art of record, pending the Examiner's further review.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Susan Hanley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1651 Examiner's signature, if requi	red				